

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 09/901,430 07/09/2001 Friedhelm Beckmann 2763/207-187 7357 EXAMINER 7590 03/12/2004 LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A. FONTAINE, MONICA A Post Office Box 2480 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Hollywood, FL 33022-2480 1732

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	. /	Applicant(s)	m	
		09/901,430	E	BECKMANN, FRIEDHELM		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Monica A Fonta	ine 1	1732		
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the cove	r sheet with the cor	respondence address		
Period for	or Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how nication. days, a reply within the statutory mitory period will apply and will expire II. by statute, cause the application	vever, may a reply be timely inimum of thirty (30) days w b SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED	y filed vill be considered timely. e mailing date of this communicatio (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.	
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>09 January 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
- ا	4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
5)□						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
<i>′</i> —						
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the	Evaminer				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
10/63	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
		,				
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		5.1.0.0.0.440/.\/	(1) (0)		
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(	d) or (f).		
a)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority de			n Na		
	2. Certified copies of the priority d		• •			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			III tilis ivational Stage		
* (	application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action					
•	see the attached detailed Office action	ior a list of the certified t	opies not received.	•		
Attachmen		4.	l Intension Correct (5	PTO 413)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		Interview Summary (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P	TO/SB/08) 5) 🖵	Notice of Informal Pate	ent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L. Other:						

Art Unit: 1732

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the Amendment filed 9 January 2004.

All rejections in the paper mailed 6 August 2003 have been withdrawn in light of applicant's amended claim 1.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mares (U.S. Patent 4,275,030). Regarding Claim 1, Mares shows that it is known to carry out a method of producing a plastic component (Abstract), which comprises placing a first, high-strength material into one single shaping mold (Column 1, lines 21-29; Column 2, lines 53-59); introducing a second material having a lesser strength than the first material into the mold with an injection molding process when the first material has a given amount of residual heat (Column 1, lines 35-47; Column 2, lines 35-47); and bonding the first and second materials to a composite by utilizing the given amount of residual heat of the first material, producing the plastic component with a strength higher than said first material (Column 1, lines 21-29, 35-47; Column 2, lines 35-47).

Art Unit: 1732

Regarding Claim 3, Mares shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 1 above, including a method in which it is known to screen off a region of the mold with a slide and molding the first material in the screened-off region, and after pulling the slide and a cooling period, bonding the second material to the first material, while the first material still heat (Column 2, lines 35-51).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mares, in view of Bertschi et al. (U.S. Patent 5,651,998).

Regarding Claim 2, Mares shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 1 above, but he does not show the use of fiber material in the molding process. Bertschi et al., hereafter "Bertschi," show that it is known to carry out a method which comprises incorporating fiber materials in the materials for raising a structural strength of the plastic component (Column 5, lines 56-67; Column 6, lines 18-31). Bertschi and Mares are combinable because they are concerned with a similar technical field, namely, that of molding operations comprising bonding two plastics together in situ. It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Bertschi's fiber material in Mares' molding process to raise the strength of the resulting article.

Art Unit: 1732

Regarding Claim 4, Mares shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 1 above, but he does not show using a prefabricated component in his molding operation. Bertschi shows that it is known to carry out a molding method which comprises inserting a prefabricated component formed of the first material with a given amount of residual heat, and subsequently bonding the second material to the first material (Column 6, lines 18-31). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Bertschi's prefabricated component in Mares' molding process in order to raise the strength of the resulting article.

Regarding Claim 5, Mares shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 1 above, but he does not show specific ribbing in his article. Bertschi shows that it is known to carry out a method of molding which comprises forming the first material as a component formed with ribbing (Figures 7 and 8). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form Bertschi's ribbing with Mares' molding process in order to produce a part per a certain product specification which calls for ribbing.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mares, in view of Hara et al. (U.S. Patent 5,277,865).

Regarding Claim 6, Mares shows the process as claimed as discussed above, but does not show forming a hollow area in the first resin. Hara et al., hereafter "Hara," show that it is know to carry out an injection molding method which comprises forming a first material as a component having a hollow portion (Column 1, line 66 - Column 2, line 11). Hara and Mares

Art Unit: 1732

are combinable because they are concerned with a similar technical field, namely, that of injection molding operations involving thermoplastic materials. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make Hara's hollow portion in Mares' molding method in order to make Patel's molded article more stiff and lightweight (see Hara, Column 4, lines 48-53).

Regarding Claim 7, Mares shows the process as claimed as discussed above, but does not show injecting an inert gas into the first resin. Hara shows that it is known to carry out a method which comprises forming a hollow portion by injecting an inert gas into the first material when the first material is still in a plastic phase (Column 2, lines 1-9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to inject Hara's gas into Mares' first resin in order to make Patel's molded article more stiff and lightweight (see Hara, Column 4, lines 48-53).

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection (specifically, the now-claimed "one single shaping mold" limitation in claim 1).

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1732

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica A Fontaine whose telephone number is 571-272-1198. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maf

February 28, 2004

MICHAEL COLAIANNI PRIMARY EXAMINER